UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,160	01/28/2004	Haruo Yoshida	248138US6	2145	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			COLEMAN, VANESSA V		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
•					
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•	•	01/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/765,160	YOSHIDA ET AL.		
Lanimer-middled interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vanessa (Brandi) Coleman	2627		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pe</u>	nding		
(1) Vanessa (Brandi) Coleman.	(3) <u>Craig Renner</u> .			
(2) Ronald A. Rudder, Ph.D.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>27 December 2007</u>	Time: <u>4:15</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
Trugh. Remer				
CRAIG A. RÉNNER PRIMARY EXAMINER				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding claim 1, the nature of the relationship between the "flag indicating a recording state of a content file," recited in lines 6-7, and the "flag indicating invalidity," recited in line 10 was discussed to clarify applicant's intentions.

CRAIG A. RENNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER